

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
FORT WORTH DIVISION**

**JOEL ALONSO AND MARIA ALONSO,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**v.**

**ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYD'S,**

**Defendant.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CIVIL ACTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_**

---

**DEFENDANT ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYD'S  
NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

---

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446, Defendant Allstate Texas Lloyd's in Cause No. 153-276847-15, pending in the 153rd Judicial District Court of Tarrant County, Texas, files this Notice of Removal from that court to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Fort Worth Division, on the basis of diversity of citizenship and amount in controversy and respectfully shows:

**I.  
FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

1.1 On or about February 10, 2015, Plaintiffs filed Plaintiffs' Original Petition in the matter styled *Joel Alonso and Maria Alonso v. Allstate Texas Lloyd's*, Cause No. 153-276847-15, pending in the 153rd Judicial District Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in which Plaintiffs made a claim for damages to their home under a homeowner's insurance policy with Allstate Texas Lloyd's.

1.2 Plaintiffs served Defendant Allstate Texas Lloyd's ("Allstate") with Plaintiffs' Original Petition and process on February 17, 2015, by certified mail through its registered agent, CT Corporation System.

1.3 Simultaneously with the filing of this notice of removal, attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is the Index of State Court Documents that clearly identifies each document and indicates the date the document was filed in state court. Attached as Exhibit "B" is a copy of the docket sheet and all documents filed in the state court action are attached as Exhibits "B-1" through Exhibit "B-4" as identified on the Index of Documents.

## **II.**

### **BASIS FOR REMOVAL**

2.1 Defendant files this notice of removal within 30 days of receiving Plaintiffs' Original Petition. *See* 28 U.S.C. §1446(b). This Notice of Removal is being filed within one year of the commencement of this action. *See id.*

2.2 Removal is proper based upon diversity of citizenship under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a)(1), 1441(a), and 1446.

#### **A. THE PROPER PARTIES ARE OF DIVERSE CITIZENSHIP.**

2.3 Plaintiffs are, and were at the time the lawsuit was filed, residents of the State of Texas. *See* Plaintiffs' Original Petition, § II. On information and belief, Plaintiffs intend to continue residing in Texas and are thus domiciled in Texas. *See Hollinger v. Home State Mut. Ins. Co.*, 654 F.3d 564, 571 (5th Cir. 2011) (evidence of a person's place of residence is prima facie proof of his state of domicile, which presumptively continues unless rebutted with sufficient evidence of change).

2.4 Defendant Allstate Texas Lloyd's was, and at the date of this Notice, remains, an association of underwriters whose individual underwriters are all residents and citizens of the

State of Illinois and New Jersey.<sup>1</sup> “The United States Supreme Court has consistently held for over one hundred years that the citizenship of an unincorporated association [such as Allstate] is determined . . . solely by the citizenship of its members.” *See Massey v. State Farm Lloyds Ins. Co.*, 993 F. Supp. 568, 570 (S.D. Tex. 1998); *see also Gore v. Stenson*, 616 F. Supp. 895, 898-899 (S.D. Tex. 1984) (recognizing years of Supreme Court precedent reaffirming the treatment of unincorporated associations for jurisdictional purposes).<sup>2</sup> The individual underwriters of Allstate Texas Lloyd’s and their citizenship are as follows: 1). Donald J. Bailey – New Jersey; 2). Teresa J. Dalenta – Illinois; 3). Kimberley M. Bartos - Illinois; 4). William G. Hill – Illinois; 5). James W. Jonske – Illinois; 6). Katherine A. Mabe – Illinois; 7). Laurie Pellouchoud – Illinois; 8). Richard J. Smith, Jr. – Illinois; 9). Myron E. Stouffer – Illinois; and 10.) Steven C. Verney - Illinois. Accordingly, Allstate Texas Lloyd’s is a citizen of the States of Illinois and New Jersey. Allstate Texas Lloyd’s is not a citizen of the State of Texas.

**B. THE AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY EXCEEDS THE JURISDICTIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION.**

2.5 In determining the amount in controversy, the court may consider “policy limits... penalties, statutory damages, and punitive damages.” *St. Paul Reinsurance Co., Ltd. v. Greenberg*, 134 F.3d 1250, 1253 (5th Cir. 1998); *see Ray v. State Farm Lloyds*, No. CIV.A.3:98-CV-1288-G, 1999 WL 151667, at \* 2-3 (N.D. Tex. Mar. 10, 1999) (finding a sufficient amount in controversy in plaintiff’s case against their insurance company for breach of contract, fraud, negligence, gross negligence, bad faith, violations of the Texas Insurance Code, violations of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and mental anguish); *Fairmont Travel, Inc. v. George S.*

---

<sup>1</sup> *See Royal Ins. Co. v. Quinn-L Capital Corp.*, 3 F.3d 877, 882-884 (5th Cir. 1993), *cert. denied*, 522 U.S. 815 (1997); *see also Massey v. State Farm Lloyds Ins. Co.*, 993 F. Supp. 568, 570 (S.D. Tex. 1998).

<sup>2</sup> “Fifth Circuit jurisprudence is equally clear.” *See Massey*, 993 F. Supp. at 570 (citing *International Paper Co. v. Denkmann Assoc.*, 116 F.3d 134, 137 (5th Cir. 1997)).

*May Int'l Co.*, 75 F. Supp.2d 666, 668 (S.D. Tex. 1999) (considering DTPA claims and the potential for recovery of punitive damages for the amount in controversy determination); *Chittick v. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 844 F. Supp. 1153, 1155 (S.D. Tex. 1994) (finding a sufficient amount in controversy after considering the nature of the claims, the types of damages sought and the presumed net worth of the defendant in a claim brought by the insureds against their insurance company for actual and punitive damages arising from a claim they made for roof damages).

2.6 This is a civil action in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00. Plaintiffs allege that Defendant is liable under a residential insurance policy because Plaintiffs made a claim under that policy and Defendant wrongfully adjusted and denied Plaintiffs' claim. Plaintiffs have specifically pled that they are seeking monetary relief of \$100,000. *See* Plaintiffs' Original Petition, § VIII.

2.7 In addition, Plaintiffs seek damages for violations of the Texas Insurance Code, Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act and breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing. Plaintiffs specifically seek to recover mental anguish, attorney's fees and penalties, including additional and exemplary damages provided by statute as well as the 18% penalty provided under Texas Insurance Code. As such, Plaintiffs' alleged damages greatly exceed \$75,000.00.

### III.

#### **THE REMOVAL IS PROCEDURALLY CORRECT**

3.1 Defendant Allstate was served with Plaintiffs' Original Petition and process on February 17, 2015. This notice of removal is filed within the 30-day time period required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

3.2 Venue is proper in this District and Division under 28 U.S.C. §1446(a) because this District and Division include the county in which the state action has been pending and

because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims allegedly occurred in this District and Division.

3.3 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(a), all pleadings, process, orders, and all other filings in the state court action are attached to this Notice.

3.4 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), promptly after Defendant files this Notice, written notice of the filing will be given to Plaintiffs, the adverse party.

3.5 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), a true and correct copy of this Notice of Removal will be filed with the Clerk of the Tarrant County District Court, promptly after Defendant files this Notice.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

4.1 Based upon the foregoing, the exhibits submitted in support of this Removal and other documents filed contemporaneously with this Notice of Removal and fully incorporated herein by reference, Defendant Allstate Texas Lloyd's hereby removes this case to this Court for trial and determination.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Roger D. Higgins

Roger D. Higgins

State Bar No. 09601500, IL 6182756

rhiggins@thompsoncoe.com

Travis M. Brown

State Bar No. 24061890

tbrown@thompsoncoe.com

THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.

700 North Pearl Street, 25th Floor

Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 871-8200

Fax: (214) 871-8209

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT  
ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYD'S

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that on March 19, 2015, a copy of this document was mailed to all Counsel of Record via electronic notice and/or certified mail, return receipt requested to:

Manuel A. Cardenas  
Speights & Worrich  
1350 North Loop 1604 E., Suite 104  
San Antonio, Texas 78232  
Telephone: (210) 495-6789  
Facsimile: (210) 495-6790  
*Counsel for Plaintiffs*

/s/ Roger D. Higgins  
Roger D. Higgins